

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/732,817	12/11/2003	Koji Ishizaki	DAIN : 758	5883
25944	7590 06/14/2005		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BE	RRIDGE, PLC	QI, ZHI QIANG		
P.O. BOX 199			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			2871	
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/14/2006	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/732,817	ISHIZAKI, KOJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mike Qi	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
,-	nis action is non-final.					
·						
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Εχ parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) Claim(s) 1213 are subject to restriction and the	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			1(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.				
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
100	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachesentic						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5)	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/732,817

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
 121:
 - Claims 1-11, drawn to a retardation element used in a display element and used with light-emitting component, classified in class 349, subclass 117.
 - Claims 12-19, drawn to a process of producing a retardation element, classified in class 349, subclass 187.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, the forming process using first retardation and second retardation do not need to be performed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. **If** Applicant elected Group I above, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/732,817

Art Unit: 2871

(1) light emitting component comprises a liquid crystal cell, and the retardation element is used together with the liquid crystal cell and the color filter [claim 9; Fig.4];

- (2) light emitting component comprises a white electroluminescent element, and the retardation element is used together with the white electroluminescent element and the color filter [claim 10; Fig.8];
- (3) light emitting component comprises an electroluminescent element, and the retardation element is used together with the electroluminescent element [claim 11; Fig.9].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by

Application/Control Number: 10/732,817

Art Unit: 2871

37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Qi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2299. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00 am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

TO AND DRY DENITER 2800

Application/Control Number: 10/732,817

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mike Qi June 2, 2005